

(REV. 5-89) *dated when is being handled next?*

SEC is in use, that is, until or later than? *Finally, during or as*

as of the date of filing, it is not clear, and the source

Other

The proposed drawing correction  has  not been approved by the examiner. **TECHNOLGY CENTER : 600 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

The affidavit or exhibit for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because *because of the same*

The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

The affidavit or exhibit is filed *as filed*

The affidavit or exhibit for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because *because of the same*

The applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):

However:

Claims rejected to: *qc - qq*

Claims allowed:

be as follows:

Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be entered  will not be entered and the status of the claims will

the non-alallowable claims.

2.  Newly proposed or amended claims *as filed* would be allowed if submitted in a separate filed amendment canceling a dependent claim.

3.  Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be entered  will not be entered and the status of the claims will

the non-alallowable claims.

NOTE: *the outcome of the claims changed to the claims result for*

e.  They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

d.  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.

c.  They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).

b.  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).

a.  There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.16(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.

1.  The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:

to place the application in condition for allowance: *8/23/99*

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed *8/23/99* has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed

1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.16(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee are filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of examination and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

b)  expires three months from the date of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no

from the date of the final rejection

a)  is extended to run \_\_\_\_\_ or continues to run \_\_\_\_\_

Appellants' brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

16

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

**ADVISORY ACTION**

**COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS**

*Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application*

*qc/23/99*

*20*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

